

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/762,800	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KLEINSTUCK ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel S. Metzmaier	<b>Art Unit</b> 1712

**All Participants:**(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Godfried Akorli.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 January 2004**Time:** Approximatly 12:00 PM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:**

35 USC 112 regarding claim 14.

**Claims discussed:**

1, 3 and 14.

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None.

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DANIEL S. METZMAIER  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: discussed the form of the amendments to the claims as improper and the new issues raised by the amendments. Attempted to resolve said issues but no agreement was reached. Discussed applicants possibly resubmitting an amendment to correct the deficiencies therein.